

SYNOPTIC ANSWER KEY

<u>Q.1</u>		<u>Marks</u>
<u>A</u>		
i	Mechanical	1
ii	Partition	1
iii	Fractional distillation	1
iv	Electric charge	1
v	Partition	1
vi	Distribution ration	1
vii	Standard Hydrogen Electrode	1
viii	Galvanic Cell	1
ix	1 to 8	1
x	Membrane	1
xi	0.242 V	1
xii	Scm ⁻¹	1
xiii	Bell shaped curve	1
xiv	Q-Test	1
xv	Obtaining best fitting line	1
xvi	Rejection of result	1
xvii	$\pm \frac{ts}{\sqrt{n}}$	1
xviii	Range	1
<u>B</u>		
i	False	1
ii	True	1
iii	True	1
Iv	False	1
v	True	1
vi	True	1
<u>C</u>		
i	f	1
ii	d	1
iii	a	1
iv	b	1
v	c	1
vi	e	1
<u>Q.2</u>		
A	Principle	2
	Steps involved	3
B	Any five applications	5
C	Ascending Technique diagram	1
	explanation	1 ½
	Two dimensional technique diagram	1

	explanation	1 ½
D	Five steps	5
E	Correct derivation leading to $W_1 \gg W_2$	5
F	In the first case	
	$\begin{aligned} \text{Fraction un-extracted} &= \left[\frac{Wn}{W} \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{DV+1} \right]^n \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{20+1} \right]^2 \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{21} \right]^2 \\ &= 0.00227 \end{aligned}$	1 ½
	Fraction extracted	½
	% extraction	½
	In the second case	
	$X = 0.1$	
	$\begin{aligned} \text{Fraction unextracted} &= \left[\frac{1}{2+1} \right]^2 \\ &= 0.0011 \end{aligned}$	
	Fraction extracted	1 ½
	% extraction	½
		½
Q.3		
A	Diagram	2
	Experimental Procedure	3
B	Curve and discussion	
	a) E vs Volume	2 ½
	b) $\frac{\Delta E}{\Delta V}$ vs Volume	2 ½
C	Titration Curve	2
	Description	3
D	Any five applications	5
E	Diagram	2
	Discussion	3
F	Any three advantages	3
	Any two limitations	2
Q.4		
A	Equation	1
	Any four salient features	4
B	Null Hypothesis	
	introduction	2
	outline procedure	3
C	Least square method for obtaining line of the type	5
	$y = mx$ or $y = mx + C$ (Any One)	

D Mean = $\frac{0.164+0.175+0.172+0.176+0.152+0.155+0.157+0.166+0.168+0.167}{10} = 0.165$

1

x_i	$d = x_i - \bar{x} $	$(x_i - \bar{x})^2$
0.164	0.001	1×10^{-6}
0.175	0.010	1×10^{-4}
0.172	0.007	4.9×10^{-5}
0.176	0.011	12.1×10^{-5}
0.152	0.013	16.9×10^{-5}
0.155	0.010	10.0×10^{-5}
0.157	0.008	6.4×10^{-5}
0.166	0.001	1×10^{-6}
0.168	0.003	9×10^{-6}
0.167	0.002	4×10^{-6}

$$\Sigma = 6.18 \times 10^{-4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Standard deviation} &= \sqrt{\Sigma \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1}} \\ &= \sqrt{\Sigma \frac{0.000618}{10-1}} \\ &= 8.28 \times 10^{-3}\end{aligned}$$

1

For 95% confidence interval

$$\begin{aligned}&= \bar{x} \pm \frac{ts}{\sqrt{n}} \\ &= 0.165 \pm \frac{2.26 \times 0.00828}{\sqrt{10}} \\ &= 0.165 \pm 0.0059\end{aligned}$$

1

E Mean $\bar{x} = \frac{0.575}{8} = 0.0718 \approx 0.072$

1

$$\text{Median} = 0.072$$

Standard deviation

x_i	$d = x_i - \bar{x} $	$(x_i - \bar{x})^2$
0.069	0.003	9×10^{-6}
0.070	0.002	4×10^{-6}
0.071	0.001	1×10^{-6}
0.072	0.00	0×10^{-6}
0.072	0.00	0×10^{-6}
0.072	0.00	0×10^{-6}
0.073	0.001	1×10^{-6}
0.076	0.004	16×10^{-6}

2

$$\Sigma = 31 \times 10^{-6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Standard deviation} &= \sqrt{\Sigma \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1}} \\ &= 2.1 \times 10^{-3}\end{aligned}$$

Range $= 0.076 - 0.069 = 0.007$

1

F	Obs. No.	x	y	x^2	xy
1	0.1	1.2		1×10^{-2}	0.12
2	0.2	2.6		4×10^{-2}	0.52
3	0.4	4.4		16×10^{-2}	1.76
4	0.7	7.6		49×10^{-2}	5.32
5	1.0	10.8		100×10^{-2}	10.8
6	1.5	15.6		225×10^{-2}	23.4
		$\Sigma x = 3.9$	$\Sigma y = 42.2$	$\Sigma x^2 = 3.95$	$\Sigma xy = 41.92$

$$3.95m + 3.9c = 41.92 \quad \text{Eqn. No.1}$$

$$3.9m + 6c = 42.2 \quad \text{Eqn. No.2}$$

Dividing Eqn. No.1 by 3.9 and Eqn. No.2 by 6

$$1.012 m + c = 10.7 \quad \text{Eqn. No.3}$$

$$0.65 m + c = 7.03 \quad \text{Eqn. No.4}$$

Solving Eqn. No.3 & 4

$$m = 10.1 \approx 10$$

On substituting in Eqn. No.4

$$C = 0.53 \approx \frac{1}{2}$$

Equation is

$$(\text{Intensity}) = 10(\text{concentration}) + \frac{1}{2}$$

Q.5

A Principle 2

Any three applications 3

B Diagram 2

Explanation 3

C Indicator electrode – definition 1

construction 2

working 2

D Any three advantages 3

Any two limitations 2

$$E \bar{x}_1 = \frac{1.22+1.25+1.26}{3} = 1.243 \quad 1$$

$$\bar{x}_2 = \frac{1.31+1.34+1.35}{3} = 1.333 \quad 1$$

$$t_{\text{cal}} = [(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) / s] \sqrt{n_1 n_2 / (n_1 + n_2)}$$

$$= [(1.333 - 1.243) / 0.021] \sqrt{9/6}$$

$$= 5.25 \quad 2$$

$$t_{\text{tab}} = 2.78$$

$$t_{\text{cal}} > t_{\text{tab}} \quad \frac{1}{2}$$

Null hypothesis is invalid, the two means differ not only numerically but also statistically $\frac{1}{2}$

F On the basis of 2.5d rule

New Mean = 4.52

x_i	$d = x_i - \bar{x} $
4.3	0.22
4.5	0.02
4.5	0.02
4.6	0.08
4.7	0.18

1

$$\Sigma d = 0.52$$

$$d_{avg} = 0.52/5 = 0.104$$

1 ½

$$d_{questionable} = x_i - \bar{x}$$

$$= 0.48$$

½

$$2.5d_{avg} = 2.5 \times 0.104$$

$$= 0.26$$

$2.5d_{avg} < d_{questionable}$ Hence the doubtful(last) value should be rejected

1

On the basis of 2.5d rule

$$4.0d_{avg} = 4 \times 0.104$$

$$= 0.416$$

$4.0d_{avg} < d_{questionable}$ Hence the doubtful(last) value should be rejected

1